

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,722	07/11/2001	Akihiro Hikichi	03327.2259	7732
22852	7590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20001-4413		1771	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/901,722	HIKICHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1.2.6 and 7 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.6 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. It election requirement. It er. It epted or b) objected to by the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	∆ □ 1=1==1 2	(PTO 442)			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's submission of a certified English translation of Japanese Patent Application 200-211567 removes the YAMANE reference (EP 1081406A2), as prior art reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROBERTS et al. (US 4,182,437) in view of JP 56016578 A or in view of CARLSON et al. (US 5,871,159).

ROBERTS et al. discloses a friction material for use in brake lining, clutch pads and the like. The reference teaches that in general, a friction material contains a matrix or binder, such as a thermosetting resin or vulcanized rubber, a fibrous reinforcement, and a friction modifier. (Column 1, lines 25-28) The reference provides an amorphous glass, which in finely divided form, is adapted for use as a combined friction modifier and reinforcing agent for friction material. (Col. 2, lines 49-53) The reference teaches the use of silicate glasses and teaches the

Application/Control Number: 09/901,722 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

use of SiO₂ systems with the following compositions in which Fe₂O₃ may optionally be used as a

modifying oxide (refer to Column 4, lines 20-65; claim 11):

SiO₂: 60% to 75%;

Li₂O: 10% to 30%;

MgO: 8% to 20%;

 Fe_2O_3 : 0% to 5%

The reference further teaches that the glasses are smelted and fiberized and the fibers maybe

either continuous or discontinuous. The diameter and length of the fibers are not at all critical

and may vary widely. For example, a diameter may average from about a 0.5 micron to about 30

microns and usually is about 1.75 microns. Lengths, when continuous fibers are not used, may

average from about 1 centimeter to about 50 centimeter. (Column 6, lines 64-68 through

Column 7, lines 1-3) The reference further teaches that other finely divided forms can be

employed such as *powder or bead [equated to the presently claimed grains]*, which, if desired,

can be fabricated from the fibers. (Column 7, lines 16-21) The reference teaches the use of an

organic resin, elastomers and other additives, modifiers, filler, extenders can be added to the

thermosetting organic resin. Further, the reference teaches that the proportions of these are not

critical and that in general, the friction material contains in parts by weight from about 20 to

about 80 parts of the resin, from about 5 to about 40 parts of the present finely divided glass.

(Column 7, lines 16-65)

It is the Examiner's interpretation that ROBERTS et al. provides all the elements claimed

in the present application. With regards to the inclusion of less than 10% of at least one of Al₂O₃

and ZrO₂, it is the Examiner's interpretation that less than 10% could also be zero. Further,

Roberts et al. also teaches the use of these as additives as disclosed in Col. 5, lines 23-28) With

regards to the fiber diameter and length, it is noted that the reference teaches that these are not at all critical and may vary widely, as stated above.

Page 4

The JP'578 reference is directed to a friction material and teaches the use of fibers with diameters of 0.1-30 microns and length of 0.5-30 mm. (Abstract)

The CARLSON et al. reference teaches a product to replace asbestos in brake pads and other molded friction materials. (Abstract) The reference teaches fibers with an average length of no more than about 0.15 inch (374 µm). (Col. 3, lines 16-31)

Since the ROBERTS et al., the JP'578 reference and CARLSON et al. are directed are directed to friction materials, the purpose disclosed by any of the secondary references would have been recognized in the pertinent art of ROBERTS et al.

It is noted that the ROBERTS et al. reference discloses that the diameter and length of the fibers are not at all critical and may vary widely.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the fiber of the friction material of ROBERTS et al. and provide it with a small length as claimed herein since the prior art of record shows that to use fiber lengths within such range (i.e. $100-1,500 \mu m$) are suitable functional equivalents for friction material applications.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez

Examiner Art Unit 1771

January 19, 2005